Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/966,352	HERBERT, BEN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kaj Olsen	1753
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	
(1) Kaj Olsen.	(3)	
(2) Saul Zackson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 March 2004</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representa	tive]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∐ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: all generally, 8 specifically.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hochstrasser</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the generated, or any other comments: <u>The examiner discussional Hochstrasser and the instant invention and possible medinvention</u> .	sed the structural differences b	etween the teaching of
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	o copy of the amendments tha	agreed would render the claims at would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFIC INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, CORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on revers	the last Office action has alrea OR THE MAILING DATE OF T NT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	ndy been filed, APPLICANT IS HIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
·		· · ·
	01:0	$\frac{1}{2}$

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required